



# Human Caused Global Warming

The Biggest Deception  
in History






Comparison 1990 IPCC predictions with HadCrut and UAH Satellite Data





Normalised Comparison with Overlay of Moana Loa CO2 measurements



Motive

**“In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that .. the threat of global warming.. would fit the bill.... the real enemy, then, is humanity itself....we believe humanity requires a common motivation, namely a common adversary in order to realize world government. It does not matter if this common enemy is a real one or....one invented for the purpose.” — Club of Rome**

**“We’ve got to ride the global warming issue. Even if the theory of global warming is wrong, we will be doing the right thing ...” US Senator Tim Wirth, 1993**

# Motive?



Maurice Strong; Head of the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio and Former Executive Officer for Reform in the Office of the Secretary General of the UN.


- ♦ Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized nations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?
- ♦ Quote from Junkscience.com
- ♦ Strong was using the U.N. as a platform to sell a global environment crisis and the Global Governance Agenda.
- ♦ Elaine Dewar; *Cloak of Green* Page 330.



# Political Process



United Nations Environment Program (UNEP)



## **Agenda 21, Principle 15**



*In order to protect the environment, the precautionary approach shall be widely applied by States according to their capabilities. Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation.*

They narrowed the focus and research by the definition of climate change in Article 1 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

*“a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over considerable time periods.”*

Source: [http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4\\_syr\\_appendix.pdf](http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr_appendix.pdf)

The problem is you cannot determine that unless you know the amount and cause of natural climate change.




Working Group I Report  
"The Physical Science Basis"


Working Group II Report  
"Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability"

Working Group III Report  
"Mitigation of Climate Change"

The AR4 Synthesis Report  
Summary for Policymakers








Level of Scientific Understanding

Radiative Forcing 2001 IPCC Technical Report





Total human effect 1.6 Watts per square metre

Radiative Forcing 2007 IPCC Technical Report

# Scientific Misdirection









*This block represents all greenhouse gases, which comprise only 2% of the total atmosphere*

### Greenhouse Gases percentages






# Antarctic Ice Core Data 1




## The Case Against CO2: 100 Years of Temperature Change

*After 50 years, 1961 had greater mean temperature increase with less atmospheric CO2*





The 1961 mean year temperature was an increase over 1911 (50 years) that was more than the 2011 mean year temperature increase over 1961 (50 years) despite experiencing one-quarter the increase of atmospheric CO2.





# CO<sub>2</sub> Measurements 1812 - 2004 (chemical: raw data)





Source: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2002, September 17; 99 (19) 12011-12014.



# Computer Models

**In the Atmospheric Column**

Wind vectors,  
humidity, clouds,  
temperature, and height


Vertical exchange between levels

Horizontal exchange  
between columns


Timestep 30 minutes, grid spacing  $3^{\circ} \times 3^{\circ}$

**At the surface**

Ground temperature,  
water, and  
energy fluxes







Source; <http://forums.accuweather.com/index.php?showtopic=19818&st=0&p=953583&#entry953583>


## IPCC Model Limitations


- *Nevertheless, models still show significant errors. Although these are generally greater at smaller scales, important large-scale problems also remain.*
- *Due to the limited resolutions of the models, many of these processes are not resolved adequately by the model grid and must therefore be parametrized. The differences between parameterizations are an important reason why climate model results differ.*
- *Unfortunately, the total surface heat and water fluxes are not well observed.*
- *These errors in oceanic heat uptake will also have a large impact on the reliability of the sea level rise projections.*
- *Despite considerable effort since the TAR, uncertainties remain in the representation of solar radiation in climate models (Potter and Cess, 2004).*
- *Due to the computational cost associated with the requirement of a well-resolved stratosphere, the models employed for the current assessment do not generally include the QBO.*
- *For models to simulate accurately the seasonally varying pattern of precipitation, they must correctly simulate a number of processes (e.g., evapotranspiration, condensation, transport) that are difficult to evaluate at a global scale.*

# Major Omissions



# Milankovitch Effect









Change in insolation from the Milankovitch Effect over 1 million years



# 400 Years of Sunspot Observations






Data



In his autobiography Hubert Lamb said he founded the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) in 1972 because


*“it was clear that the first and greatest need was to establish the facts of the past record of the natural climate in times before any side effects of human activities could well be important.”*

**The situation is worse now.**




Source; <http://forums.accuweather.com/index.php?showtopic=19818&st=0&p=953583&#entry953583>






Source: Global Historical Climate Network (GHCN)




Source: <http://www.uoguelph.ca/~rmckitri/research/nvst.html>




Canada Land Stations  
GHCN / plus Environment Canada




Eureka












# Manipulation of the IPCC Reports



Source: <http://www.john-daly.com/sonde.htm>


## **Agreed**

*“While some of the pattern-base discussed here have claimed detection of a significant climate change, no study to date has positively attributed all or part of climate change observed to man-made causes.”*

## **Santer Inserts**

*“The body of statistical evidence in chapter 8, when examined in the context of our physical understanding of the climate system, now points to a discernible human influence on the global climate.”*

## Medieval Warm Period



Source: IPCC Report 1995 Figure 7c




# “What to do about the MWP”

- D. Deming, *Science* 1995

“With the publication of the article in *Science* [in 1995], I gained significant credibility in the community of scientists working on climate change. They thought I was one of them, someone who would pervert science in the service of social and political causes. So one of them let his guard down. A major person working in the area of climate change and global warming sent me an astonishing email that said “

***We have to get rid of the Medieval Warm Period.”***

*Source: Presentation by S McIntyre At Conference Stockholm Sweden, September 9 2006*



## The Hockey Stick

**Claimed the global average  
surface temperature increased by  
 $0.6 \pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  since the late 19<sup>th</sup>  
century.**

This is a range of  $0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  or a 66% error range, which is scientifically meaningless.

[Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis](#), IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR), page 26



# Disclosure

**P.D. Jones refuses to disclose how he achieved the number.**


**"We have 25 or so years invested in the work. Why should I make the data available to you, when your aim is to try and find something wrong with it."**

**(Jones' reply to Warwick Hughes, 21. February 2005; confirmed by Jones when asked by Von Storch)**

*“Ten years ago I simply parroted what the IPCC told us. One day I started checking the facts and data – first I started with a sense of doubt but then I became outraged when I discovered that much of what the IPCC and the media were telling us was sheer nonsense and was not even supported by any scientific facts and measurements. To this day I still feel shame that as a scientist I made presentations of their science without first checking it.”*  
*“Scientifically it is sheer absurdity to think we can get a nice climate by turning a CO2 adjustment knob.”*

German physicist and meteorologist Klaus-Eckart Plus.

## The Real Consensus



Hands-up. Who thinks greenhouse gases have no effect, and therefore we all need new jobs? Anyone?



